## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

File No. 1:10-CR-05 v.

DAVID W. MILLER,

Defendant.

Sentencing

Before

THE HONORABLE ROBERT HOLMES BELL United States District Judge June 17, 2010

## **APPEARANCES**

BRIAN K. DELANEY Assistant U.S. Attorney P.O. Box 208

Kalamazoo, MI 49007

Grand Rapids, MI 49501

Attorney for Defendant Attorney for Plaintiff

DONNA J. INNES 707 Academy St.

Kevin W. Gaugier, CSR-3065 U.S. District Court Reporter

MS. INNES: Yes, I've also reviewed it. We have no

25

additions, corrections or deletions, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. The Court has reviewed the plea 3 agreement in light of the presentence report and finds that 4 the charge pled to adequately reflects the seriousness of the actual offense behavior in this matter. 5 6 Mr. Miller, have you had a chance to carefully 7 review this presentence report? DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, sir, I have. 8 9 THE COURT: Does that presentence report accurately 10 represent you and your circumstances? 11 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with Ms. Innes's 13 representation of you throughout this matter? 14 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Very well. Anything that we should take 16 up before allocution on behalf of the government, Mr. Delaney? 17 MR. DELANEY: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Ms. Innes? 19 MS. INNES: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. You and your client can come to 20 21 the podium and the Court will hear remarks first from you and 22 then from your client. I should also note that you filed a 23 very nice and very thorough sentencing memorandum with this 24 Court that this Court has reviewed in conjunction with its

sentencing responsibilities. You may proceed.

25

MS. INNES: Thank you, Your Honor.

Well, obviously money management is not Mr. Miller's forte. He is a hard worker, though, a caring and attentive father of two young children. He got himself in a situation where he was given a lot of credit that he simply couldn't afford. He made bad decisions, and ultimately he has pled to this charge and accepted his responsibility for the theft, that he was the individual who did that.

He lost a good-paying job. He's got a felony conviction that's going to obviously dramatically impact his ability to be a good wage earner in the future, and one of the primary purposes, I would suppose, of this sentence is to try to make whole the individuals who the money has been taken from, so there will be payments that will have to be made.

He has made inquiries. He's considered a displaced worker because he had been laid off from automotive-related industry situations, so Michigan Works would fund potentially his schooling to a heavy equipment situation. That was an \$8,500 tuition. There is a possibility for the future that he would qualify potentially for that in order to secure a better job in order to make more money to pay back what is owed.

THE COURT: So this whole matter is just a matter of getting himself in a financial bind and using bad financial judgment; is that right?

MS. INNES: No, I think it goes beyond that,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

definitely. I mean, that kind of was the start, but certainly many people find themselves in similar situations and don't react the way Mr. Miller did, and I'm certainly not trying to diminish what has happened. It was a criminal act, he's responsible, and it went on for a period of time and it shouldn't have.

But we're trying to look to the future and how are we going to get these people paid back. The guidelines came out a little higher than we anticipated or hoped for. Seems there is some period of incarceration that would be necessary and there will have to be some structured payment plan put in place, and that's sort of going to be what he will concentrate on upon his release.

So that's where we're at. He has worked steadily. He's now laid off. He's been laid off twice through Manpower and is currently not able or is not working and is awaiting his next assignment. So we will leave the sentencing to the Court, and thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Remarks you would wish to make at this time, Mr. Miller?

DEFENDANT MILLER: Again I would like to apologize to the union and all the people in the union for what I did. I'm seeking employment. It's a weekly -- I go every Monday morning to the local Michigan Works office and the two

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

placement services that are in the area to let them know that I'm still seeking and looking for work. I've applied for and been accepted to the heavy equipment program that she referred I'm just simply waiting for an okay for the No Worker Left Behind Program for funding, and I look forward to figuring out how I'm going to pay this money back and --THE COURT: Simple as that. That's all it really comes down to, isn't it?

DEFENDANT MILLER: Paying them back? Yes. I have no idea how I'm going to do it, but --

> THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Delaney, do you have any comments you'd wish to make?

MR. DELANEY: Just briefly, Your Honor. I know the Court's well aware and well-versed. Mr. Hyink did a good job in preparing a presentence report in this case, including making contact with the victim of this case. Mr. Butters is present in the courtroom. He does not wish to speak to the Court at this time. However, he does have a victim impact statement that's contained within the presentence report, Your Honor.

There are real victims in this case, including those that continue to work in the union who are paying now, instead of \$12.50 a month, they're paying two hours of every paycheck. There's obviously a lot of anger, and as the report indicates,

the union had to find another affiliate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition, this is not an aberrant crime. isn't something that just happened once, but it was calculated. I mean, if you look at the record, Your Honor, the first check was written on March 27, 2008, 84 more checks over a period of 17 months with the last check written on 8/10 of 2009, so 17 months of continuing to do this, to conceal what he was doing. And I also would mention that the vast majority of the checks were written to himself personally, so they turned into cash essentially. Hard to trace that kind of money, including one check for \$8,700, another for \$4,000, Your Honor. All this weighs upon a decision by this Court, obviously, that's well aware of what's going on here.

And I think that as more than just making payment, the defendant needs to serve some kind of a custodial sentence in this case, Your Honor, that's a deterrent to others who would do this kind of activity and a message to those who are working in the union that crime does not pay. Thank you, Your Honor.

> Thank you. THE COURT:

At this workplace where you worked, Mr. Miller, did you have individual lockers where you put your things when you changed to go work?

DEFENDANT MILLER: At Metaldyne?

THE COURT: Yes.

DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, we did.

1

THE COURT: And presumably you went into the lockers 2 3 when you arrived and put your things in there, and then when 4 you left, you went back to the lockers, picked up your things 5 and left. Is that right? 6 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yeah. Yes. 7 THE COURT: What would you think of somebody that 8 was working at the factory and while you were out on the floor 9 working, they went through all the lockers and took the 10 billfolds and took the credit cards and took the money out of 11 the billfolds? 12 DEFENDANT MILLER: I wouldn't think very highly of 13 them. 14 THE COURT: What would you call them? DEFENDANT MILLER: A thief. 15 16 THE COURT: What would you do if you caught them? 17 DEFENDANT MILLER: Probably would have handled it 18 the way I thought it should be handled. 19 THE COURT: How should it have been handled? 20 DEFENDANT MILLER: A good old-fashioned ass-kicking. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Didn't you do that? DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, I did. 22 23 THE COURT: In fact, you did more than that. You 24 were entrusted with a trust on behalf -- you were voted in 25 presumably by everybody that was in the union, right?

1 DEFENDANT MILLER: Um-hum. Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: They said David Miller is a trustworthy 3 individual; therefore, we will entrust our money with David 4 Miller, didn't they? DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, sir, they did. 5 6 THE COURT: You breached that trust, didn't you? 7 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, I did. 8 THE COURT: That's really why you're here, isn't 9 it? 10 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes. 11 THE COURT: If it was just simple theft, you'd go up 12 to wherever it is, I think it's Hillsdale County or wherever, 13 you'd be in district court there and they'd slap you with a 14 fine and you'd be on your way. But that's not why you're 15 here. You're here because you violated a trust that was 16 imposed by law upon you, a very serious trust when it came to 17 the other workers' monies. Whether it involves \$50,000 or \$5 18 million, and I've had the full gamut of people like you who 19 have done this, it doesn't make much difference how much it 20 is, it's that violation of trust, isn't it? 21 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: And this wasn't once, but it was 83 23 times, wasn't it? 24 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: And each time you wrote that check to

yourself, you knew that the money that you were using to write 1 2 to yourself was money that belonged to the people that you 3 worked with? 4 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, sir, I did. THE COURT: You were working with them at the time, 5 6 weren't you? 7 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes. 8 THE COURT: That's why you're here. You know, we 9 get ourselves in financial binds sometimes. We get health of 10 children or houses burn down or sometimes we get into 11 financial jams of our own making, but sometimes they're not of 12 our own making. Sometimes they come along just because of the 13 way things are. You know, as the old biblical phrase goes, 14 the rain falls on the just and the unjust, so those kind of things occur. 15 16 But as I review this matter with you, obviously 17 there was some domestic disputes going on with your ex-wife 18 and whatever, but largely the bills that you were having to 19 encounter were credit card bills, weren't they? 20 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: And you encountered those debts, didn't 22 you? 23 DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And when you wrote those credit cards

for this and that, you had probably a pretty good idea that

25

that was exceeding your ability to pay at some point, didn't you?

DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes.

THE COURT: But you did it anyway.

DEFENDANT MILLER: Yes.

THE COURT: I find this matter carries an adjusted offense level of 12 and a criminal history level of I. I find a serious violation of breach of trust. Obviously, Congress has recognized that it's a serious breach of trust by virtue of the nature of a credit union, by virtue of the democracy in a credit union which lets the credit union select one of their own to be their treasurer, and the theft of a credit union by way of embezzlement is considered a very serious offense.

Very serious offense.

Part of this Court's review under the sentencing statute, Section 3553(a), is to look at whether an adequate deterrence to criminal conduct can be had from the sentence. And obviously the Congress intends that if there is a criminalization of the behavior, which there has been here, and for good reason, that this must stand as a signal to anyone else who has that inclination to say you don't steal other people's money, particularly if it's in a trust situation. You had legal obligations to that trust of the union which you thoroughly and clearly violated, as counsel indicated, over a long period of time here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So those are the nature and circumstances. But your history and characteristics are that you have been a working person. You've largely taken care of your family and yourself throughout your life, and that speaks well for you that you've not had other issues. This sentence must promote respect for law while being a just punishment within the rubric of a credit union -- or of a labor union theft context.

This Court believes that a sentence sufficient to do that is a sentence of 13 months in the custody of the Federal Bureau of Prisons followed by two years of supervised release with the standard conditions of reporting and remaining law-abiding.

You will hereafter be a federally convicted felon. You may not own guns of any kind. Therefore, during this period of supervised release I want no alcohol, I want no drugs, no guns, I want no presence in bars or taverns, and I want no new credit undertaken unless you have permission from the supervised release officer. You must secure employment and/or be in school pursuing an educational endeavor, and I want mental health counseling at the option of the probation officer in this matter.

A fine will be waived by virtue of the fact that the restitution amount due and owing to the union here, the Independent Workers Local 373, I think it's called LIWU 373, which I note now is apparently an affiliate of the UAW, is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$50,501.99. Interest will be waived on that restitution amount, but I want at a minimum \$200 a month to be paid during the course of your supervised release, and the remaining balance at the end of the supervised release will be determined by the supervised release officer and will be part of a judgment lien against any tax refunds or anything else that occur with you, Mr. Miller.

This remains your primary obligation to extinguish. Until that's extinguished, you will have on your neck the monies of other people that you spent satisfying yourself, and it seems to me that the best way to get that behind you is to make arrangements to somehow get that paid.

Any legal objection to the sentence imposed by this Court that has not previously been raised, Mr. Delaney?

MR. DELANEY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Innes?

MS. INNES: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You have a limited right of appeal, having largely waived the right to collaterally attack a sentence under Paragraph 8 of the plea agreement. You have 14 days in which to file an appeal. Those forms will be delivered to you for an appeal. You'll be remanded to the marshal for execution of this sentence forthwith.

The Court wishes to thank you, Ms. Innes, for the able representation you have provided on behalf of Mr. Miller

```
1
      in this matter.
 2
                MS. INNES: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Delaney?
 4
                MR. DELANEY: No, Your Honor, thank you.
 5
                THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Innes?
 б
                MS. INNES: I'm sorry. Did the Court say he was
 7
      being remanded now?
                THE COURT: Yes. Mandatory special assessment of
 8
 9
      $100 as well in this matter. Thank you.
10
                  (Proceedings concluded at 10:18 a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Kevin W. Gaugier, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the within-entitled and numbered cause on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I do further certify that the foregoing transcript was prepared by me.

## /s/ Kevin W. Gaugier

Kevin W. Gaugier, CSR-3065 U.S. District Court Reporter 110 Michigan N.W. 622 Federal Building Grand Rapids, MI 49503